

Contents

Preface	V
List of Authors	VII
Contents-Summary	IX
Literature (selection)	XXXVII
Germany	XXXVII
Europe (overall).....	XXXVIII
France.....	XXXIX
Great Britain.....	XXXIX
Italy	XXXIX
Korea	XL
Spain	XL
The Netherlands	XL
USA.....	XL
List of Questions	1
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	3
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	4
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	4
Answers	7
A. Europe	9
I. Austria	9
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	10
a) Introduction	10
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	11
aa) Claim categories	11
bb) Essential and non-essential features	11
cc) Alternatives in patent claims.....	11
dd) Product Claims	12
(1) Product claims, device claims and means claims	12
(2) "Product-by-process" claims	13
ee) Process claims	13
(1) Distinction between process claims and use claims	13
(2) Indications of purpose in process claims	13
ff) Use claims.....	14
(1) Second and further medical or non-medical indications	14
	XI

(2) Claim construction and extent of protection	14
c) The term of the doctrine of equivalence	15
aa) Historical aspects	15
bb) Non-inventive alternatives (“Formstein” defense)	15
cc) Look-up of equivalent embodiments	16
dd) Alternatives with inventive quality	16
ee) Problem solution and the doctrine of equivalence	16
ff) Declined embodiments	17
gg) Values and numerical ranges	17
hh) Prosecution history estoppel	17
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	18
a) Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	18
b) Relevant case law	18
c) “Indirect infringement” vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	18
d) Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	19
e) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	19
f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”?	20
g) Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	20
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	20
a) Systemic classification	20
aa) Regulation in special laws	20
bb) Special law	20
cc) Purpose of damages	22
b) Negligence liability	22
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	22
bb) Degrees of culpability	23
c) Methods of calculation of damages	23
aa) Methods of calculation	23
bb) Systemic differences	24
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	24
dd) Estimation of damages	25
ee) Assumption of damages	25
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	26
II. Finland	27
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	28
a) Introduction	28
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	28
aa) Claim categories	28
bb) Essential and non-essential features	28
cc) Alternatives in patent claims	29
c) Product Claims	29
aa) Product claims, device claims and means claims	29
bb) “Product-by-process” claims	30

d) Process claims	31
aa) Distinction between process claims and use claims.....	31
bb) Indications of purpose in process claims.....	31
e) Use claims	31
aa) Second and further medical or non-medical indications ...	32
bb) Claim construction and extent of protection.....	32
f) The term of the doctrine of equivalence	33
aa) Historical aspects	33
bb) Non-inventive alternatives (“Formstein” defence)	35
cc) Look-up of equivalent embodiments	35
dd) Alternatives with inventive quality.....	35
ee) Problem-solution and doctrine of equivalence	36
ff) Declined embodiments	36
gg) Values and numerical ranges	37
hh) Parallels to German and British case law	37
ii) Prosecution history estoppel	37
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	38
a) Principles and rules relating to infringement	38
b) Relevant case law	38
c) “Indirect infringement” and (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement?	38
d) Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	39
e) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters?.....	40
f) Barriers in the patent law sense, which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”?	40
g) Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”.....	40
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	41
a) Systemic classification	41
aa) Regulation in special laws	41
bb) Special law	41
cc) Purpose of damages	42
b) Negligence liability.....	43
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability.....	43
bb) Degrees of culpability	43
c) Methods of calculation of damages	44
aa) Methods of calculation	44
(1) Compensation for exploitation of a patent	45
(2) Damages for other injury caused by an infringement ..	45
(3) Compensable damages	46
bb) Systemic differences	46
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	46
dd) Estimation of damages	47
ee) Interest of non-disclosure	48
III. France.....	49
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	49

a)	Introduction.....	49
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	50
aa)	General aspects.....	50
bb)	Claim categories.....	52
cc)	Essential and non-essential features.....	53
dd)	Alternatives in patent claims	54
c)	Product claims.....	54
aa)	Product claims, device claims and means claims	54
bb)	“Product-by-process” claims.....	54
d)	Process claims	55
aa)	Distinction between process claims and use claims	55
bb)	Indications of purpose in process claims	55
e)	Use claims.....	55
f)	The term of the doctrine of equivalence	56
aa)	Historical aspects.....	56
bb)	Case law	56
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	58
a)	Rules relating to “indirect infringement” and actual preconditions thereof	58
b)	Relevant case law	58
c)	Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	59
d)	Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	59
e)	Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	59
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	60
a)	Systematic classification	60
aa)	Introduction	60
bb)	Purpose of damages	60
b)	Negligence liability	60
c)	Calculation of damages	61
aa)	Methods of calculation.....	61
bb)	Expert opinions	62
IV.	Germany	63
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	64
a)	Introduction.....	64
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	64
aa)	General aspects.....	64
(1)	Claim categories	65
(2)	Essential and non-essential features	65
(3)	Alternatives in patent claims.....	66
bb)	Product Claims.....	67
(1)	Product claims, device claims and means claims	67
(2)	“Product-by-process” claims	68
cc)	Process claims	69
(1)	Distinction between process claims and use claims....	69
(2)	Indications of purpose in process claims.....	70

dd) Use claims	70
(1) Second and further medical or non-medical indications	70
(2) Claim construction and extent of protection	71
(3) Presentation for use	72
c) The term of the doctrine of equivalence	73
aa) Historical aspects	73
bb) Jurisprudence up to the “Quintet of decisions”	74
cc) Case law after the “quintet of decisions”	77
dd) Prosecution history estoppel in Germany?	81
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	82
a) Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	82
b) Relevant case law	83
c) Indirect infringement vs. (co-)complicity or participation in “direct infringement”	84
d) Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	85
e) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	87
f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules of “indirect infringement”	87
g) Legal consequences of indirect infringement	87
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	88
a) Systematic classification	88
aa) Regulation in special laws	88
bb) Special law	88
cc) Purpose of damages	88
b) Negligence liability	89
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	89
bb) Degrees of culpability	89
c) Calculation of damages	90
aa) Methods of calculation	90
(1) Recovery of infringer’s profits	90
(2) Analogy to a licence	92
(3) Lost profits	93
bb) Systemic differences	93
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	94
dd) Estimation of damages	96
ee) Assumption of damages	96
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	96
V. Great Britain	99
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	100
a) Introduction	100
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	101
aa) General aspects	101
(1) Claim categories	104
(2) Essential and non-essential features	105

bb) Product claims	105
(1) Product claims, device claims and means claims	105
(2) “Product-by-process” claims	106
cc) Process claims	107
(1) Distinction between process claims and use claims	107
(2) Indications of purpose in process claims	107
dd) Use claims	107
(1) Second and further medical or non-medical indications	107
(2) Claim construction and extent of protection	108
c) The doctrine of equivalence	109
aa) Historical aspects	109
(1) Catnic and Amgen	109
(2) EPC 2000 – post <i>Amgen</i>	110
bb) Non-inventive alternatives (“Formstein” consideration) ..	110
cc) Values and numerical ranges	111
dd) Parallels between British and German case law	111
ee) Prosecution history estoppel	113
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	115
a) Rules related to “indirect infringement”	115
b) Related Case Law	116
c) “Indirect infringement” vs. (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement	116
aa) Direct infringement s. 60(1) PA	116
bb) Indirect infringement s. 60(2) PA	117
cc) Joint Tortfeasorship	117
dd) Indirect infringement vs. joint tortfeasorship	119
d) Preconditions of “indirect infringement”	120
aa) Means relating to an essential element of the invention ..	120
bb) Knowledge requirement	123
cc) Double territorial restriction	123
e) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	125
f) Exceptions to “indirect infringement”	125
aa) Staple commercial products	125
bb) Entitled to work the invention	125
g) Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	126
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	127
a) Systemic classification	127
aa) Regulation in special laws	127
bb) Purpose of damages	129
b) Negligence liability	130
aa) Innocent infringers and notice requirements	130
bb) Compensatory not punitive	130
cc) Punitive damages?	131
dd) The IP Enforcement directive	132

c)	Methods of calculation of damages	134
aa)	Methods of calculation	134
(1)	Damages	134
(2)	Lost sales	135
(3)	Other heads of damages	135
(4)	Account of profits	136
bb)	Distribution of the burden of proof	137
cc)	Estimation of damages	137
VI. Italy		139
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	140
a)	Introduction	140
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	142
aa)	General aspects	142
(1)	Claim categories	142
(2)	Essential and non-essential features	143
(3)	Alternatives in patent claims	144
bb)	Product Claims	145
(1)	Product claims, device claims and means claims	145
(2)	“Product-by-process” claims	146
cc)	Process claims	147
(1)	Distinction between process claims and use claims	147
(2)	Indications of purpose in process claims	147
dd)	Use claims	147
(1)	Second and further medical or non-medical indications	148
(2)	Claim construction and extent of protection	148
c)	The term of the doctrine of equivalence	148
aa)	Historical aspect	148
bb)	Non-inventive alternatives (“Formstein” defence)	151
cc)	Alternatives with inventive quality	152
dd)	Problem-solution and doctrine of equivalence	152
ee)	Declined embodiments	152
ff)	Recent case law	153
gg)	Values and numerical ranges	153
hh)	Parallels to German and British case law	154
ii)	Prosecution history estoppel	154
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	155
a)	Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	155
b)	Relevant case law	155
c)	“Indirect infringement” vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	156
d)	Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	157
e)	Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	157
f)	Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”?	157

g)	Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	158
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	158
a)	Systematic classification	158
aa)	Regulation in special laws	158
bb)	Special law	159
cc)	Purpose of damages	160
b)	Negligence liability	160
aa)	Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	160
bb)	Degrees of culpability	160
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	161
aa)	Methods of calculation	161
bb)	Distribution of the burden of proof	161
cc)	Estimation of damages	161
dd)	Assumption of damages	162
ee)	Interest of non-disclosure	162
VII.	The Netherlands	163
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	164
a)	Introduction	164
b)	Interpretation of patent claims	164
aa)	General aspects	164
(1)	Claim categories	167
(2)	Essential and non-essential features	169
(3)	Alternatives in patent claims	170
bb)	Product claims	170
(1)	Interpretation of product claims	170
(2)	Product-by-process claims	170
cc)	Product claims	171
(1)	Distinction between process claims and use claims	171
(2)	Indications of purpose in process claims	171
dd)	Use claims (second medical use)	171
(1)	Presentation for use	172
c)	The doctrine of equivalence	172
aa)	Gillette/Formstein defence	173
bb)	Inventive quality of a contested form of embodiment	173
cc)	Inferior form of embodiments	173
dd)	Values and numerical ranges	174
ee)	Prosecution history estoppels	174
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	174
a)	Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	174
b)	Relevant case law	175
c)	“Indirect infringement” vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	176
d)	Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	177
e)	Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	178

f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”	178
g) Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	178
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	178
a) Systemic classification	178
aa) Regulation in special laws	178
bb) Special law	179
cc) Purpose of damages	180
b) Negligence liability	180
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	180
bb) Degrees of culpability	181
c) Methods of calculation of damages	181
aa) Methods of calculation	181
(1) Damages	181
(2) Surrender of profits	182
bb) Systemic differences	182
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	183
dd) Estimation of damages	183
ee) Assumption of damages	184
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	184
VIII. Spain	185
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	185
a) Principles of claim interpretation	185
b) Categories of claims	186
c) Literal interpretation of the claims	186
d) Infringement by equivalence	187
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	189
a) Basic principle of infringement	189
b) Limitations of the right of protection conferred by a patent ...	189
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	193
a) Introduction	193
aa) Contents of the patent right – origin of the action for infringement	194
bb) Competent Courts	195
b) Action for compensation for damages sustained by the patent proprietor	197
aa) Justification of the action	197
bb) Existence of specific legislation	197
cc) Determination of the amount	198
(1) Criteria increasing the amount to be received	200
(2) Novelties in the field of trademarks and industrial designs	201
dd) Degree of liability	201
ee) Pain and Suffering	203
IX. Sweden	205
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	206

a)	Introduction	206
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	206
aa)	Claim categories	206
bb)	Essential and non-essential features	207
cc)	Alternatives in patent claims	207
dd)	Product Claims	207
(1)	Product claims, device claims and means claims	207
(2)	“Product-by-process” claims	207
ee)	Process claims	208
(1)	Distinction between process claims and use claims	208
(2)	Indications of purpose in process claims	208
ff)	Use claims	208
(1)	Second and further medical or non-medical indications	208
(2)	Claim construction and extent of protection	209
c)	The term of the doctrine of equivalence	209
aa)	Historical aspects	209
bb)	Non-inventive alternatives (“Formstein” defence)	210
cc)	Look-up of equivalent embodiments	211
dd)	Alternatives with inventive quality	211
ee)	Values and numerical ranges	212
ff)	Parallels to German and British case law	212
gg)	Prosecution history estoppel	212
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	213
a)	Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	213
b)	Relevant case law	213
c)	“Indirect infringement” vs. (co-)complicity or participation in indirect infringement	213
d)	Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	214
e)	Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	215
f)	Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”?	215
g)	Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	215
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	216
a)	Systemic classification	216
aa)	Regulation in special laws	216
bb)	Special law	216
cc)	Purpose of damages	217
b)	Negligence liability	217
aa)	Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	217
bb)	Degrees of culpability	217
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	218
aa)	Methods of calculation	218
bb)	Systemic differences	219
cc)	Distribution of the burden of proof	220

dd) Estimation of damages	220
ee) Assumption of damages	220
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	220
X. Switzerland	223
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	224
a) Introduction	224
b) Principles of interpretation of patent claims.....	225
aa) General aspects	225
(1) Claim categories	226
(2) Essential and non-essential features	227
(3) Alternatives in patent claims	228
bb) Product Claims	228
(1) Product claims, device claims and means claims	228
(2) "Product-by-process" claims	229
cc) Process claims	229
(1) Distinction between process claims and use claims	229
(2) Indications of purpose in process claims	229
dd) Use claims.....	230
(1) Second and further medical or non-medical indications	230
(2) Claim construction and extent of protection	231
c) The doctrine of equivalence.....	231
aa) Historical aspects	231
bb) Non-inventive alternatives ("Formstein" consideration) ..	233
cc) Requirements for application of doctrine of equivalence ..	234
dd) Alternatives with inventive quality	236
ee) Problem-solution and doctrine of equivalence	236
ff) Embodiments with inferior effect.....	236
gg) Values and numerical ranges	237
hh) Parallels to German and British case law	237
ii) Prosecution history estoppel	239
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	239
a) Terminology	239
b) Relevant case law	240
c) Indirect infringement vs. complicity or participation in direct infringement?	240
d) Actual preconditions of indirect infringement	241
e) Special regulations applicable to indirect infringement in cross-border matters	242
f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on indirect infringement?	243
g) Legal consequences of indirect infringement	243
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	244
a) Systemic classification	244
aa) Regulation in special laws	244
bb) Special law	244

cc) Purpose of damages	245
b) Negligence liability	245
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	245
bb) Degrees of culpability	245
c) Methods of calculation of damages	246
aa) Methods of calculation	246
(1) General	246
(2) Proof of actual loss	246
(3) Licence Analogy	248
(4) Conclusion by analogy based on the infringer's profit	249
bb) Systemic differences	250
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	251
dd) Estimation of damages	251
ee) Assumption of damages	252
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	252
(1) Discourse: Surrender of a capital advantage derived from trading without a mandate	253
(2) Discourse: Unjust enrichment	254
B. North and Central America	257
I. Mexico and Central America	257
1. Mexico	257
a) Interpretation of Patent Claims	257
aa) Principles of claim interpretation	257
bb) Allowability of claim categories	257
cc) Essential and non-essential features	258
dd) Alternatives in patent claims	258
ee) Extent of protection of product claims	258
ff) Product-by-process claims	258
gg) Delimitation of process and use claims	258
hh) Doctrine of equivalence	259
b) Indirect Patent Infringement	259
c) Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	259
aa) Systemic classification	259
bb) Methods of calculation of damages	259
(1) Methods of calculation	259
(2) Distribution of the burden of proof	259
(3) Assumption of damages	259
2. Remaining Countries in Central America	261
a) Interpretation of Patent Claims	261
aa) Principles of claim interpretation	261
bb) Allowability of claim categories	261
cc) Essential and non-essential features	262
dd) Alternatives in patent claims	262
ee) Extent of protection of product claims	262

ff)	Product-by-process claims	262
gg)	Delimitation of process and use claims	263
hh)	Doctrine of equivalence	263
ii)	Prosecution history estoppels.....	263
b)	Indirect Patent Infringement.....	263
c)	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	264
aa)	Methods of calculation	264
bb)	Systemic differences	264
cc)	Distribution of the burden of proof	264
dd)	Estimation of damages	264
ee)	Assumption of damages	264
II.	United States of America	265
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	266
a)	Introduction	266
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	266
aa)	General aspects	266
	(1) Claim categories	267
	(2) “ <i>Jepson</i> ” claims	268
	(3) Product-by-process claims.....	269
bb)	Statutory requirements for US patents	272
	(1) § 112¶2 – the “definiteness” that is required of US claims	272
	(2) Alternative expressions; permissible use of “or” in a claim; “ <i>Markush</i> ” claims	272
	(3) “Means-plus-Function” claims.....	273
	(4) Interrelationship of claim elements	275
	(5) Use claims	275
cc)	Interpretation of claims with respect to infringement	276
	(1) The “ <i>Markman</i> ” case decisions	277
	(2) “ <i>Markman</i> ” hearings to determine claim construction	279
	(3) The current state of the law on the role of “intrinsic” and “extrinsic” evidence, and the interrelationship thereof	279
c)	Doctrine of equivalence	282
aa)	<i>Graver vs. Linde</i> decision	282
bb)	<i>Warner Jenkinson vs. Hilton-Davis</i> decision.....	284
cc)	General limitations on the application of the doctrine of equivalence: The all-elements rule; prosecution history estoppel; subject matter disclosed by not claimed	285
dd)	Prosecution history estoppels.....	286
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	289
a)	Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	289
b)	Relevant case law	289
c)	“Indirect infringement” vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	291

d)	Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	293
e)	Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	294
f)	Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”?	294
g)	Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”	295
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	295
a)	Systemic classification	295
aa)	Regulation in special laws	295
(1)	Damages and other statutory remedies for patent infringement	295
(2)	Limitations on damages	296
bb)	Special law	297
cc)	Purpose of damages	298
b)	Negligence liability	298
aa)	Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	298
bb)	Degrees of culpability	300
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	301
aa)	Methods of calculation	301
(1)	Reasonable royalty	301
(2)	Lost profits	303
bb)	Systemic differences	305
cc)	Distribution of the burden of proof	306
dd)	Estimation of damages	306
ee)	Assumption of damages	307
ff)	Interest of non-disclosure	308
gg)	Outlook	309
C.	South America	311
I.	Argentina	311
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	311
a)	Principles of claim interpretation	311
b)	Allowability of claim categories	312
c)	Essential and non-essential features	312
d)	Alternatives in patent claims	313
e)	Extent of protection of product claims	313
f)	Product-by-process claims	313
g)	Delimitation of process and use claims	314
h)	Indications of use in process claims	315
i)	Delimitation of use claims and product/process claims	315
j)	Second (technical) indication for use claims	316
k)	Doctrine of equivalence	316
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	318
a)	Rules related to indirect infringement	318
b)	Relevant case law	319

3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	322
a)	Applicable law	322
b)	Entitlement	324
c)	Guidelines for compensation purposes	325
II.	Bolivia.....	327
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	327
a)	Principles of claim interpretation.....	327
b)	Allowability of claim categories.....	327
c)	Essential and non-essential features	328
d)	Alternatives in patent claims	328
e)	Extent of protection of product claims	328
f)	Product-by-process claims.....	328
g)	Delimitation of process and use claims	328
h)	Doctrine of equivalence	328
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement.....	329
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	329
III.	Brazil.....	331
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	331
a)	Introduction	331
b)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	332
aa)	Claim categories	332
bb)	Essential and non-essential features	332
cc)	Product claims.....	332
dd)	Process claims	332
ee)	Use claims.....	333
c)	The term of the doctrine of equivalence	334
aa)	Historical aspects and actual case law	334
bb)	Prosecution history estoppels.....	335
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement.....	335
a)	Rules on indirect infringement	335
b)	Relevant case law	336
c)	“Indirect infringement” versus (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement	336
d)	Legal consequences	337
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	337
a)	Systemic classification	337
aa)	Regulation in special laws	337
bb)	Special law	338
b)	Negligence liability.....	338
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	338
aa)	Methods of calculation	338
bb)	Systemic differences	338
cc)	Distribution of the burden of proof.....	339
dd)	Assumption of damages	339
ee)	Interest of non-disclosure	339

IV. Chile	341
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	341
a) Principles of claim interpretation	341
b) Allowability of claim categories	341
c) Essential and non-essential features	342
d) Alternatives in patent claims	342
e) Product-by-process claims	342
f) Delimitation of process and use claims	343
g) Second (technical) indication for use claims	343
h) Presentation for use	343
i) Doctrine of equivalence	343
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	345
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	345
a) Systematic classification	345
b) Negligence liability	345
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	345
bb) Degrees of culpability	345
c) Methods of calculation of damages	346
aa) Methods of calculation	346
bb) Systemic differences	346
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	346
dd) Estimation of damages	346
ee) Assumption of damages	346
V. Colombia	347
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	347
a) Principles of claim interpretation	347
b) Allowability of claim categories	348
c) Essential and non-essential features	348
d) Alternatives in patent claims	348
e) Extent of protection of product claims	349
f) Product-by-process claims	349
g) Delimitation of process and use claims	349
h) Indications of use in process claims	350
i) Delimitation of use claims and product/process claims	350
j) Second (technical) indication for use claims	351
k) Doctrine of equivalence	351
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	352
a) Rules related to indirect infringement	352
b) "Indirect infringement" vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	352
c) Legal consequences	352
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	353
a) Systematic classification	353
aa) Regulation in special laws	353
bb) Purpose of damages	353

b) Negligence liability.....	353
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability.....	353
bb) Degrees of culpability.....	354
c) Methods of calculation of damages.....	354
aa) Methods of calculation.....	354
bb) Systemic differences.....	354
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof.....	354
dd) Estimation of damages.....	355
VI. Ecuador.....	357
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims.....	357
a) Principles of claim interpretation.....	357
b) Allowability of claim categories.....	357
c) Essential and non-essential features.....	358
d) Alternatives in patent claims.....	358
e) Extent of protection of product claims.....	358
f) Delimitation of process and use claims.....	358
g) Doctrine of equivalence.....	359
2. Indirect Infringement.....	359
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	359
a) Systematic classification.....	359
b) Negligence liability.....	359
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability.....	359
bb) Degrees of culpability.....	359
c) Methods of calculation of damages.....	359
aa) Methods of calculation.....	359
bb) Distribution of the burden of proof.....	360
VII. Peru.....	361
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims.....	361
a) Principles of claim interpretation.....	361
b) Allowability of claim categories.....	361
c) Essential and non-essential features.....	362
d) Product-by-process claims.....	362
e) Indications of use in process claims.....	362
f) Doctrine of equivalence.....	363
2. Indirect Patent Infringement.....	363
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	363
a) Systematic classification.....	363
b) Negligence liability.....	363
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability.....	363
bb) Degrees of culpability.....	364
c) Methods of calculation of damages.....	364
aa) Methods of calculation.....	364
bb) Distribution of the burden of proof.....	364
VIII. Uruguay.....	365
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims.....	365
a) Principles of claim interpretation.....	365

b)	Allowability of claim categories	365
c)	Essential and non-essential features	366
d)	Doctrine of equivalence	366
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	366
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	366
a)	Systematic classification	366
aa)	Regulation in special laws	366
bb)	Special law	367
b)	Negligence liability	368
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	368
aa)	Methods of calculation	368
bb)	Distribution of the burden of proof	369
cc)	Estimation of damages	369
dd)	Assumption of damages	369
D.	Asia and Pacific	371
I.	Australia	371
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	373
a)	Introduction	373
b)	Interpretation of Patent Claims	374
aa)	General principles	374
bb)	Availability of claim categories	378
cc)	Essential and non-essential features	381
dd)	Alternatives in patent claims	383
ee)	Extent of protection of product claims	386
ff)	Product-by-process claims	387
gg)	Distinction between process or method claims and use claims	387
hh)	Indication of purpose in process claims	388
ii)	Use claims versus product claims and process claims	388
jj)	Second (technical) indication for use claims	389
kk)	Presentation for use of the product	390
c)	Doctrine of equivalence	391
aa)	Historical overview	391
bb)	Non-inventive embodiments of the free state of the art (Formstein-defence)	391
cc)	Criteria to discover an equivalent form of embodiment	392
dd)	Inventive embodiments versus equivalence	393
ee)	The role of the object of the invention	394
ff)	Inferior forms of embodiment	395
gg)	Relevant case law	395
hh)	Values and numerical ranges	396
ii)	Parallels between the pith and marrow approach and the “Improver Questions”	397
jj)	Prosecution history estoppel	398

2. Indirect Patent Infringement	399
a) Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	399
aa) Patent Infringement by Supply of Products	399
bb) Authorising an infringement.....	400
cc) Potential liability of company directors and partnerships for patent infringement by authorisation	401
dd) Liability as an accessorial or joint tortfeasor for patent infringement by analogy to the common law	402
ee) Potential liability of company directors as accessorial or joint tortfeasors for patent infringement	403
ff) Misleading or Deceptive Conduct	404
b) Relevant case law	405
aa) Patent Infringement by Supply of Products	406
bb) Authorising an Infringement	409
cc) Liability as an accessorial or joint tortfeasor for patent infringement by analogy to the common law	411
dd) Misleading or Deceptive Conduct	414
c) “Indirect infringement” versus (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement?	415
d) Actual preconditions of “indirect infringement”	417
e) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	418
f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on “indirect infringement”	420
g) Legal consequences of “indirect infringement”.....	421
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	422
a) Systemic classification	422
aa) Regulation in special laws	422
(1) Award of Damages or an Account of Profits for Patent Infringement under the Patents Act 1990	422
(2) Award of Remedies including Damages under Consumer Protection Legislation	423
(3) Award of Costs	424
bb) Remedies for Patent Infringement	424
(1) Remedies Generally	424
(2) Statutory Limitations on an Award of Damages or an Account of Profits.....	425
cc) Award of Damages and other Remedies for Misleading and Deceptive Conduct under Consumer Protection Legislation	428
dd) Patents for Therapeutic Goods and Penalties under the Therapeutic Goods Act 1989	429
(1) Section 26B(1) applicant certificates	430
(2) Section 26C(3) patentee certificates.....	430
(3) Provision of a false or misleading section 26B(1) applicant certificate.....	431

(4) Provision of a false or misleading section 26C(3) patentee certificate where an interlocutory injunction to prevent or stop patent infringement has not been granted	431
ee) Award of Costs	433
ff) Purpose of damages	434
(1) Purpose of an Award of Damages under the Patents Act 1990	434
(2) Purpose of an Award of an Account of Profits under the Patents Act 1990	434
(3) Purpose of an Award of Damages under section 52 of the Trade Practices Act 1974	434
(4) Patents for Therapeutic Goods and Purposes for Penalties under the Therapeutic Goods Act 1989	435
b) Negligence liability	436
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	436
(1) Degree of Culpability under the Patents Act 1990	436
(2) Proportionate Liability between Defendants under the Trade Practices Act 1974	436
(3) Reduction for Contributory Negligence by Claimant under the Trade Practices Act 1974	436
bb) Additional Damages under the Patent Act 1990	437
c) Methods of calculation of damages	438
aa) Methods of calculation	438
(1) Calculation of Damages for Patent Infringement	438
(2) Lost Profits	439
(3) Potential Relevance of Non-infringing Alternatives	441
(4) Licence Fee	442
(5) Reasonable Royalty	442
(6) Categories are not Closed	443
bb) Calculation of Damages under Consumer Protection Legislation and Overlap with Calculation of Damages for Patent Infringement	444
cc) Account of Profits	445
(1) Deduction	445
(2) Apportionment	446
(3) Other Potential Considerations	446
(4) A Less Preferred Option?	446
dd) Interest and Taxation	447
ee) Systemic differences	447
ff) Distribution of the burden of proof	447
gg) Estimation of damages	448
hh) Assumption of damages	448
ii) Interest of non-disclosure	449
II. China	451
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	452

a) Introduction	452
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	452
aa) General aspects	452
bb) Claim categories	453
cc) Essential and non-essential features	453
dd) Product claims.....	454
ee) “Product-by-process” claims	455
ff) Process claims	455
gg) Use claims.....	456
hh) Second and further medical or non-medical indications ...	456
ii) Presentation for use	456
c) The term of the doctrine of equivalence	456
aa) Historical aspects	456
bb) State of the art defense	457
cc) Numerical ranges	458
dd) Prosecution history estoppel	459
2. Indirect Patent Infringement.....	460
a) Rules on indirect infringement	460
b) Relevant case law	462
aa) <i>Lanzhou vs. Beijing YueTe</i>	462
bb) <i>Taiyuan Heavy Machine vs. Taiyuan Electronic Systems</i>	462
cc) <i>Beijing Yingtelai vs. Beijing Dongtiere</i>	463
c) “Indirect Infringement” versus (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement	463
d) Actual preconditions of indirect infringement	464
e) Special regulations in the case of cross-border matters	464
f) Barriers in patent law	465
g) Legal consequences	465
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement.....	465
a) Systematic classification	465
aa) Regulation in special laws	465
bb) Special law	465
b) Negligence liability.....	466
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	466
bb) Degrees of culpability	466
c) Methods of calculation of damages	466
aa) Methods of calculation	466
bb) Systemic differences	467
cc) Distribution of the burden of proof	467
(1) Economic Losses.....	468
(2) Infringer’s profits	468
(3) License royalty	468
(4) “Statutory damages”	468
dd) Estimation of damages	469

ee)	Assumption of damages	469
ff)	Interest of non-disclosure	469
III.	India	471
1.	Interpretation of Patent Claims	472
a)	Literal interpretation of patent claims	472
aa)	General aspects	472
bb)	Claim categories	472
cc)	Essential and non-essential features	472
dd)	Alternatives in patent claims	473
ee)	Product Claims	473
ff)	"Product-by-process" claims	473
gg)	Process claims	474
hh)	Use claims	474
b)	The term of the doctrine of equivalence	474
aa)	Historical aspects	474
bb)	Non-inventive alternatives ("Formstein" consideration) ..	475
cc)	Look-up of equivalent embodiments	475
dd)	Alternatives with inventive quality	475
ee)	Problem-solution and the doctrine of equivalence	476
ff)	Declined embodiments	476
gg)	Values and numerical ranges	476
hh)	Parallels to German and British case law	476
ii)	Prosecution history estoppel	477
2.	Indirect Patent Infringement	477
a)	Rules relating to "indirect infringement"	477
b)	Relevant case law	478
c)	"Indirect infringement" vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	478
d)	Actual preconditions of "indirect infringement"	479
e)	Special regulations applicable to "indirect infringement" in the case of cross-border matters	479
f)	Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on "indirect infringement"?	479
g)	Legal consequences of "indirect infringement"	479
3.	Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	480
a)	Systemic classification	480
aa)	Regulation in special laws	480
bb)	Special law	480
cc)	Purpose of damages	481
b)	Negligence liability	481
aa)	Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	481
bb)	Degrees of culpability	482
c)	Methods of calculation of damages	482
aa)	Methods of calculation	482
bb)	Systemic differences	483
cc)	Distribution of the burden of proof	484

dd) Estimation of damages	484
ee) Assumption of damages	485
ff) Interest of non-disclosure	485
IV. Japan	487
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	488
a) Literal interpretation of patent claims	488
aa) Introduction	488
bb) Materials for claim interpretation	489
cc) Claim interpretation methods	490
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	491
aa) General aspects	491
bb) Allowability of claim categories	493
cc) Essential and non-essential features	493
dd) Alternatives in patent claims	495
ee) Product claims, device claims and means claims	497
ff) Product by process claims	499
gg) Process claims	501
hh) Use claims	503
ii) Second and further medical or non-medical indications ...	503
jj) Claim construction and extent of protection	503
kk) Presentation for use	504
c) The term of the doctrine of equivalence	505
aa) History of the doctrine of equivalence in Japan	505
bb) Today's view on equivalence	506
cc) Interchangeability of a non-essential element	507
dd) Exchangeability/identicalness of the function and effect ...	510
ee) Simplicity of exchange	510
ff) Defense of publicly known art/defense of art in the public domain	511
gg) Consideration to the prosecution history	511
hh) Defense of restriction on exercise of rights in patent infringement lawsuits	512
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	514
a) Japanese "Indirect Infringement"	514
aa) Rules relating to "Indirect infringement"	514
bb) The meaning of "indirect infringement"	516
b) Relevant case law	517
aa) Court judgments on indirect infringement relating to exclusive products (Article 101(i) and (iv))	517
(1) Outline of the facts	517
(2) Court's holdings	518
(3) Outline of the facts	518
(4) Court's holdings	518
bb) Court judgment on indirect infringement relating to an essential element (multi-functional product) (Article 101(ii) and (v))	519

(1) Outline of the facts	519
(2) Court's holdings	519
c) "Indirect infringement" versus (co-)complicity or participation in direct infringement	520
d) Actual Preconditions of indirect infringement	521
aa) Indirect infringement relating to "exclusive products"	521
bb) Contributory infringement relating to an essential element (multi-functional products)	522
e) Special regulations applicable to "indirect infringement" in the case of cross-border matters	523
aa) Outline of the facts	523
bb) Court's holdings	523
f) Barriers which are specifically tied to the rules on "indirect infringement"	524
g) Legal consequences of indirect infringement	524
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	525
a) Systemic classification	525
aa) Regulation in special laws	525
bb) Special law	526
cc) Purpose of damages	527
b) Negligence liability	528
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	528
bb) Degrees of culpability	528
c) Methods of calculation of damages	529
aa) Lost profits	529
bb) Infringer's profits	530
cc) Amount equivalent to a royalty	531
V. South Korea	533
1. Interpretation of Patent Claims	534
a) Introduction	534
b) Literal interpretation of patent claims	534
aa) Claim categories	534
bb) Essential and non-essential features	535
cc) Alternatives in patent claims	535
dd) Product claims, device claims and means claims	536
ee) "Product-by-process" claims	537
ff) Process claims	538
gg) Use claims	538
hh) Scope of protection	539
c) Doctrine of equivalence	539
aa) Historical aspects	539
bb) Non-inventive embodiments	541
cc) Criteria for equivalent forms of embodiment	542
dd) Embodiments having an inventive quality	542
ee) The role of the problem to be solved	542
ff) Inferior forms of embodiment	542

gg) Values and numerical ranges	543
hh) Prosecution history estoppel	544
2. Indirect Patent Infringement	544
a) Rules relating to “indirect infringement”	544
b) Relevant case law	545
c) “Indirect infringement” vs. (co-) complicity or participation in direct infringement?	546
d) Actual Preconditions of indirect infringement	547
e) Direct infringement is not a precondition	548
f) Special regulations applicable to “indirect infringement” in the case of cross-border matters	548
g) Legal consequence of “indirect infringement”	548
aa) Civil liability	548
bb) Criminal liability	549
3. Damages in the Case of Patent Infringement	550
a) Systemic classification	550
aa) Regulation in special laws	550
bb) Special law	550
cc) Purpose of damages	550
b) Negligence liability	551
aa) Dependence of damages on the degree of culpability	551
bb) Degrees of culpability	551
c) Methods of calculation of damages	551
aa) Infringer’s Profits (Article 128(2))	552
bb) Lost Profits (Article 128(1))	553
cc) An amount of money equivalent to a royalty (Article 128(3))	553
dd) Systemic differences	554
ee) Distribution of the burden of proof	554
ff) Estimation of damages	555
gg) Assumption of damages	555
hh) Interest of non-disclosure	555
The editors, CV	557
The authors, CV	559
Case Indices	569